<b>LCAP Year ≥</b> 2017–18	2018–19	<b>2019–20</b>
----------------------------	---------	----------------

# Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update (LCAP) Template

Addendum: General instructions & regulatory requirements.

Appendix A: Priorities 5 and 6 Rate Calculations

Appendix B: Guiding Questions: Use as prompts (not limits)

LCFF Evaluation Rubrics [Note: this text will be hyperlinked to the LCFF Evaluation Rubric web page when it becomes available.]: Essential data to support completion of this LCAP. Please analyze the LEA's full data set; specific links to the rubrics are also provided within the template.

**LEA Name** 

Willits Elementary Charter School

Contact Name and Title

Kara McClellan

Director

Email and Phone

kara@willitsk5charter.org

707-459-1400

### **2017-20 Plan Summary**

#### THE STORY

Briefly describe the students and community and how the LEA serves them.

Willits Elementary Charter School (WECS) is a small, rural school in Northern California that serves kinder-5<sup>th</sup> grade students. WECS emphasizes an inquiry-approach, Spanish language development, and the arts as well as a rigorous core academic program.

#### LCAP HIGHLIGHTS

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

Students will make significant progress in Reading, Math (both defined by CCSS) and Spanish incorporating inquiry-based methods.

The school culture and physical environment will promote the WECS' mission and student achievement. WECS will continue to actively promote diversity among our staff and student body.

#### **REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE**

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

In 2016, both WECS third graders and fifth graders had the second highest English language arts (ELA) scores of any school in Mendocino County. Our fourth graders had the highest ELA scores (again out-performing most 5th grades in the county). All grades had strong math scores. WECS Science Test scores were the highest in Mendocino county (and in the top two for the entire Redwood Region).

The make-up of student populations in schools is unique. Because we are such a small school, many sub categories of students are too small to disaggregate. We selected two of our statistically significant subgroups to examine more closely to be able to compare "apples to apples." These two subgroups were "economically disadvantaged" (WECS does not have enough students in each grade who are not "economically disadvantaged" to make up a significant sub-group). We also examined "English only" students (WECS does not have enough students in each grade who are English language learners to make up a significant sub-group).

## GREATEST PROGRESS

Typically there is a large gap between the performance of economically disadvantaged students and students who are not economically disadvantaged. The state of California has reported extensively about this gap and actively seeks ways to address it. We are particularly pleased to report in general that our economically disadvantaged students seem to be thriving. Our "English only" students outperformed the "English only" students at WUSD; our "economically disadvantaged" students outperformed the "economically disadvantaged" students at WUSD.

Willits Elementary Charter School has been selected by Educational Results Partnership (ERP) and the Campaign for Business and Education Excellence (CBEE) as one of 1,866 public schools in California to receive the title of 2016 Honor Roll school.

The Honor Roll is part of a national effort to identify higher-performing schools and highlight successful practices that improve outcomes for all students. Schools

receiving this distinction from leaders in the California academic and business communities have demonstrated consistent high levels of student academic achievement, improvement in achievement levels and reduction in achievement gaps among student populations.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or where the LEA received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. Additionally, identify any areas that the LEA has determined need significant improvement based on review of local performance indicators or other local indicators. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

We continue to focus on ways to provide even more support for our struggling students.

#### GREATEST NEEDS

Because we are such a small school, we anticipate that we may oscillate between red/ orange and blue/ green performance categories. For example, for the 2014/2015 school year we were in the red for suspensions and we will likely be in the blue for 2015-2016 year as we had five and one suspensions respectively. This small difference of 4 suspensions never-the-less had a significant impact on our percentages and the Dashboard.

Similarly, our math scores in 2014-2015 were among the highest in the county; in 2015-2016 our math scores were also strong, but because we test such a small number of students, the drop in scores was significant. This exaggerated sense of change will hopefully even out as the state collects more data.

These indicators also tend to reward schools that have high levels of parent education and income levels and penalize schools such as those throughout Mendocino county.

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these performance gaps?

N/A

# PERFORMANCE GAPS

#### **INCREASED OR IMPROVED SERVICES**

If not previously addressed, identify the two to three most significant ways that the LEA will increase or improve services for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.

This is a strength of ours. We will continue to refine our English language development program and work with local agencies that support English language learners.

#### **BUDGET SUMMARY**

Complete the table below. LEAs may include additional information or more detail, including graphics.

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total General Fund Budget Expenditures for LCAP Year	\$1,190,730
Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year	\$251,675 LCFF

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool but may not describe all General Fund Budget Expenditures. Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year not included in the LCAP.

All operating and facility costs are not included in LCAP. Special Education encroachment fees are not included in LCAP. Administrative costs not included except for small portion for diversity outreach.

\$ 1,190,730 Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year

## **Annual Update**

LCAP Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1 Students will make significant progress in Reading, Math (both defined by CCSS) and Spanish incorporating inquiry-based methods. The school culture and physical environment will promote this student achievement, including continuing to promote diversity among our staff and student body.

State and/or Local Priorities Addressed by this goal:

Student Achievement, Student Engagement, Other Student Outcomes, School Climate, Parental Involvement, Basic Services, Implementation of CCSS, Course Access STATE  $\boxtimes$  1  $\boxtimes$  2  $\boxtimes$  3  $\boxtimes$  4  $\boxtimes$  5  $\boxtimes$  6  $\boxtimes$  7  $\boxtimes$  8 COE  $\square$  9  $\square$  10 LOCAL Specify all students

#### ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

EXPECTED ACTUAL

One of the data-driven assessments WECS uses is through the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) program by Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA). This is an adaptive test. When student's answer questions correctly, the difficulty of test questions increases; test question difficulty decreases when students err. We use this test to track student progress three times each year (in the fall, winter, and spring). These reports help teachers track individual student progress and class-wide progress. We also use this data to examine school-wide progress over time.

Our primary data tracked is our "Overall Percentage of RIT Met or Exceeded." The RIT (Rasch unit) is the proprietary scale used by NWEA. RIT is the standardized norm used to track expected student progress. The purpose of this MAP report is to measure growth by examining RIT

The final number should be available to report out at June 20 board meeting; the testing window is still open. Initial results indicate overall percentage well over 80%. Typically spring percentages are higher than winter percentages. This year's winter "Overall Percentage of RIT Met or Exceeded" was 104% on average across third, fourth, and firth grade classrooms.

scores over the course of the school year. A score of 100% indicates that the grade-level target has been fully achieved. Areas that exceed 100% indicate that classrooms surpassed projected growth. In 2015-2016 the third, fourth, and fifth grade classrooms will exceed 84% "Percentage of Projected Growth Met" (formerly called "Overall Percentage of RIT Met or Exceeded") in math and reading by year end.

The school-wide attendance rate was 93.7 % as of May 2017.

School attendance is a crucial component of learning. The school-wide attendance rate will exceed 93% at year end.

Aligns with LEA plan goal:

All students will reach high standards, at a minimum, attaining proficiency or better in reading and mathematics.

Aligns with Charter Goals:

Students will demonstrate strong reading comprehension, writing, listening, speaking, and presentation skills, appropriate to the setting and audience.

Students will display mastery of basic mathematic skills: addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.

Students will demonstrate the ability to reason logically and to understand and apply mathematical processes and concepts, including those within arithmetic, algebra, geometry, and other mathematical subjects.

In 2016, both WECS third graders and fifth graders had the second highest English language arts (ELA) scores of any school in Mendocino County. Our fourth graders had the highest ELA scores (again out-performing most 5th grades in the county). All grades had strong math scores. WECS Science Test scores were the highest in Mendocino county (and in the top two for the entire Redwood Region).

#### **ACTIONS / SERVICES**

Duplicate the Actions/Services from the prior year LCAP and complete a copy of the following table for each. Duplicate the table as needed.

Action

1

Actions/Services

**PLANNED** 

Students will make significant progress in Reading

**ACTUAL** 

Students continue to exceed expected gains as gauged

	and Math.	through MAP testing.
	BUDGETED WECS will offer three levels of weekly, intense, targeted, remedial afterschool reading support program throughout the year for students performing below grade level. (\$14,950, LCFF)	\$14950
	WECS will offer three levels of weekly, intense, targeted, remedial afterschool math support program throughout the year for students performing below grade level. (\$13,550, LCFF)	\$13550
Expenditures	NWEA \$1300	\$1342
	WECS will offer a homework club open to all interested students. (\$2175, LCFF)	\$2175
	Teachers will have their classes participate in at least one "math talk" daily. (\$800, General Fund)	\$800
	Supplies to help targeted students achieve (\$6000 LCFF)	\$7500
	Coordinate English Language Development efforts and data collection—(\$5000 LCFF)	\$6000
Action 2 Actions/Services	PLANNED Students will deeply understand and regularly use the inquiry process.	ACTUAL  Each year, teachers receive training and guidance in deepening student understanding of the inquiry process and teachers have increased the number of project completed each year.
Expenditures	BUDGETED Lead teachers coordinate weekly PLC meetings.	\$13500

	(\$13,500, LCFF) Director schedules and facilitates regular trainings. (\$6800, LCFF)	\$5070
	Teachers will create professional development goals (monitored by Director and PLC semi-annually) to support continued implementation of the inquiry process. Director will provide training. (\$2000 General Funds)	\$2000
	Teachers will have additional teacher aid support in classrooms to support the inquiry process. (\$43,000 LCFF) (Title I Funds: TBD)	42500 LCFF 28000 Title I
	Project-based Learning Coordinator will coordinate a school wide series of projects. (\$6100 LCFF)	\$6100
	Outdoor Education Coordinator will coordinate series of outdoor education projects for students (\$4900 LCFF)	\$4700
	Library books (\$2500 LCFF)	\$1000
Action 3 Actions/Services	PLANNED Students will make significant progress towards conversational fluency in Spanish.	ACTUAL Our Spanish teacher continues to document gains in Spanish proficiency each year.
Expenditures	BUDGETED Daily, students receive 30 minutes of direct Spanish instruction and 30 minutes of content (e.g. Art) in Spanish weekly; two classrooms will have an aid who primarily communicates in Spanish. (\$26000, General Fund. \$22,000 LCFF)	\$12,000 Title I (not general funds) \$27,000 LCFF
	The Spanish teacher will focus on program tailored to native Spanish speakers. (\$6700 LCFF)	\$16000

Action 4 Actions/Services	PLANNED The school culture and physical environment will promote the WECS' mission and student achievement. WECS will continue to actively promote diversity among our staff and student body.	Our school culture and physical environment continue to promote our mission and student achievement; we have seen an increase in student and staff diversity.
	BUDGETED A Coordinator will continue to liaise with Nuestra Alianza, Mujeres Unidas, and Headstart and to provide outreach to native Spanish speaking families and create a fuller outreach program from incoming kindergarten students (\$1800 LCFF)	\$200
	The Director will actively seek feedback and implement suggestions to increase diversity of staff and student body. (\$800 LCFF)	\$800
Expenditures	Students will help design and create additional school murals, working closely with the art team. (TBD General Fund)	\$5000
	WECS will improve communication with parents through weekly Facebook updates (1 hour / week Tyler). (Gen Fund \$1000)	\$1000
	Spanish dictionaries and library books (\$2000 LCFF)	See above (library books). Duplicate expenditure.
	WECS will have a Garden Coordinator to teach and maintain school garden (\$7100 LCFF)	\$7100
	Purchase of equipment to improve physical well-being (\$2000 LCFF)	0

#### **ANALYSIS**

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's goals from the prior year LCAP. Duplicate the table as needed.

Use actual annual measurable outcome data, including performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal.

Adjusting in real-time as needed, WECS successfully implemented the overall articulated goal.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.

In 2016, both WECS third graders and fifth graders had the second highest English language arts (ELA) scores of any school in Mendocino County. Our fourth graders had the highest ELA scores (again outperforming most 5th grades in the county). All grades had strong math scores. WECS Science Test scores were the highest in Mendocino county (and in the top two for the entire Redwood Region).

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Most expenditures were on target. Some purchases were delayed to fiscal year 17-18. Action 3 aide time for Spanish speaking was less than budgeted as allocations went to other aides and funds spent on Spanish speaking aides were adequate and successful for lower grades.

Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP. Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

Although our suspension rates for the 2015-2016 school year were very low, the LCFF Evaluation Rubric tracks rates from two years ago. Ergo, we will be sure to report out and set careful goals for suspension rates to provide a more accurate picture to the community.

## **Stakeholder Engagement**

LCAP Year

#### INVOLVEMENT PROCESS FOR LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How, when, and with whom did the LEA consult as part of the planning process for this LCAP/Annual Review and Analysis?

- 1. WECS staff meet weekly
- 2. WECS Director meets with parents daily, holds parent meetings regularly
- 3. Site Council meeting March 24, 2017.
- 4. Parent and student surveys.
- 5. Staff LCAP review and goal setting meetings week of August 22, March 14 and to be held June 13, 2017
- 6. Staff training, including reflection on meeting goals held week of August 22, afternoon of Nov 1, March 14 and to be held June 13, 2017.
- 7. Staff evaluations (frequent "real time" feedback and year end reflection June 2017) and review of student data (September, February, June 2017) and ongoing.
- 8. Parent, Staff and Community LCAP meeting Oct 28, 2016 and March 24, 2017 and at 8:45 a.m.
- 9. WECS Director discussed LCFF weekly with Business Manager, fall -present.
- 10. Draft of LCAP to CSAW board, before final approval by CSAW board.

#### IMPACT ON LCAP AND ANNUAL UPDATE

How did these consultations impact the LCAP for the upcoming year?

			ng process. An increase in aid and teacher time was the crucial ther develop the goals outlined in our school's charter.
Strategic Planning Deta	•	LEA's goals. Duplicate the table a	s needed.
	□ New [	Modified	⊠ Unchanged
Goal 1	methods. The school cul		th (both defined by CCSS) and Spanish incorporating inquiry-based will promote this student achievement as will WECS continued
State and/or Local Prioriti	es Addressed by this goal:	STATE \$\times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times \times 1	]4 ⊠5 ⊠6 ⊠7 ⊠8
Identified Need			

**EXPECTED ANNUAL MEASURABLE OUTCOMES** 

Metrics/Indicators	Baseline	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
MAP scores	Overall percentage met or exceeded	84%	85%	86%
CAASPP	Average CAASPP scores shall meet or exceed local district scores	Average CAASPP scores shall meet or exceed local district scores	Average CAASPP scores shall meet or exceed local district scores	Average CAASPP scores shall meet or exceed local district scores
Attendance	percentages	93% or above	93% or above	93% or above
Suspension rate	percentages	2% or below	2% or below	2% or below

#### PLANNED ACTIONS / SERVICES

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the LEA's Actions/Services. Duplicate the table, including Budgeted Expenditures, as needed.

Action 1						
For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:						
Students to be Served						
Location(s) All schools Specific Schools: Specific Grade spans:					Grade spans:	
				OR		
For Actions/Servi	ces included as contril	outing to mee	ting the Increa	sed or Improved Services Requ	irement:	
	Students to be Served	☐ English Le	earners 🗌	Foster Youth		
		Scope of S	ervices  L	EA-wide Schoolwide C	OR Lin	nited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)
	Location(s)	All schools	s 🗌 Speci	fic Schools:	☐ Specific	Grade spans:
ACTIONS/SERVIC	ES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
☐ New ☐ Modif	ied 🛛 Unchanged		☐ New ☐	Modified 🛛 Unchanged	☐ New ☐	☐ Modified
Students will mak and Math.	ke significant progress	in Reading	Students will Reading and	l make significant progress in I Math.	Students w Reading an	ill make significant progress in d Math.
BUDGETED EXPE	NDITURES					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	A. Teachers \$37475 B. Supplies \$7500 C.NWEA \$1600		Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined	Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined
Source	0079		Source		Source	
Budget	A. Object 1100/3	8000	Budget		Budget	

Reference B. And C. 4300 Reference Reference

Action For Actions/Services not included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement: ☐ Students with Disabilities [Specific Student Group(s)] Students to be Served  $\bowtie$  All ☐ All schools ☐ Specific Schools: ☐ Specific Grade Location(s) spans: OR For Actions/Services included as contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement: Students to be Served ☐ English Learners ☐ Foster Youth ☐ Low Income ☐ LEA-wide ☐ Schoolwide OR ☐ Limited to Scope of Services Unduplicated Student Group(s) ☐ Specific Grade ☐ All schools ☐ Specific Schools: Location(s) spans: **ACTIONS/SERVICES** 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 ☐ Modified ☒ ☐ New □ Unchanged New Modified □ Unchanged New Modified Unchanged Students will deeply understand and regularly use the Students will deeply understand and Students will deeply regularly use the inquiry process. understand and regularly use inquiry process. the inquiry process. **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES BUDGETED EXPENDITURES** 2017-18 2018-19 2017-18 A. Teachers and Director \$27,000

**Amount** 

B. Aides \$50,000/\$28,000

D. Library Books \$2500

**Amount** 

C. Outdoor Education \$5000

E. Professional Development \$2000

Charter Budget yet **Charter Budget** to be determined vet to be **Amount** determined Page 16 of 20

Source	A: 0079 B: 0079/3010 C-D: 0079 E: 4035/4126		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	<ul><li>A. Object 1100/2300/3000</li><li>B. 2100/3000</li><li>C. 2100/3000</li><li>D. 4200</li><li>E. 5200</li></ul>		Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action	3					
For Actions/Serv	vices not included	as contributing to meeting	the Increased or Imp	proved Services Requir	ement:	
Stude	nts to be Served		Disabilities [Spe	cific Student Group(s)]		
Location(s) All schools Specific spans:			fic Schools:	Spec	ific Grade	
OR						
			OR			
For Actions/Serv	vices included as	contributing to meeting the		ed Services Requireme	ent:	
	vices included as		Increased or Improve	ed Services Requireme Low Income	ent:	
		☐ English Learners ☐	Increased or Improve	Low Income		☐ Limited to
		English Learners  Scope of	Increased or Improve	Low Income wide		☐ Limited to
	Location(s)	☐ English Learners ☐  Scope of ☐ All schools ☐ Specification	Foster Youth LEA- Unduplic	Low Income wide	OR	☐ Limited to
Stude	Location(s)	☐ English Learners ☐  Scope of ☐ All schools ☐ Specification	Foster Youth LEA- Unduplic	Low Income wide	OR	☐ Limited to

Students will make significant progress towards conversational fluency in Spanish.		Students will make significant progress towards conversational fluency in Spanish.		Students will make significant progress towards conversational fluency in Spanish.		
BUDGETED EXP	<u>ENDITURES</u>					
2017-18			2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	Aides \$47,000 Teacher \$16,00	00	Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined	Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined
Source	0079		Source		Source	
Budget Reference	1100/2100/300	0	Budget Reference		Budget Reference	
Action 4						
For Actions/Serv	vices not included	d as contributing to meeting	the Increased or Imp	proved Services Requir	ement:	
Stude	nts to be Served		Disabilities [Spe	cific Student Group(s)]		
	Location(s)  All schools					
			OR			
For Actions/Serv	vices included as	contributing to meeting the	Increased or Improve	ed Services Requireme	ent:	
Stude	nts to be Served	☐ English Learners ☐	Foster Youth	Low Income		
Scope of Services  □ LEA-wide □ Schoolwide OR □ Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)						
	Location(s)		ic Schools:	Spec	ific Grade	
ACTIONS/SERVIO	<u>CES</u>					
2017-18		2018-19		2019-20		
☐ New ☐ Mod	ified 🛛 Unchanç	ged New		☐ New ☐	Modified 🖂	Unchanged

	Unchanged	
The school culture and physical environment will promote the WECS' mission and student achievement. WECS will continue to actively promote diversity among our staff and student body.	The school culture and physical environment will promote the WECS' mission and student achievement. WECS will continue to actively promote diversity among our staff and student body.	The school culture and physical environment will promote the WECS' mission and student achievement. WECS will continue to actively promote diversity among our staff and student body.

#### **BUDGETED EXPENDITURES**

2017-18		2018-19		2019-20	
Amount	<ul> <li>A. Parentsquare \$1200</li> <li>B. Playground \$12000</li> <li>C. Garden Coordinator \$10800</li> <li>D. Hispanic outreach \$1800</li> <li>E. Director diversity: \$800</li> <li>F. Facebook and website \$1000</li> </ul>	Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined	Amount	Charter Budget yet to be determined
Source	A-E: 0079 F: 0000	Source		Source	
Budget Reference	A. 4300 B. 4400 C. 2100/3000 D. 2100/3000 E. 2300/3000 F. 2100/3000	Budget Reference	Action 4	Budget Reference	Action 4

## **Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Pupils**

LCAP	Year
------	------

**Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds:** 

\$ 192,180

<u>Percentage to Increase or Improve</u> Services:

19.34 %

Describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage identified above, either qualitatively or quantitatively, as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year.

Identify each action/service being funded and provided on a schoolwide or LEA-wide basis. Include the required descriptions supporting each schoolwide or LEA-wide use of funds (see instructions).

For actions/ services 1, 2, and 3 in 2017-2018, WECS will increase aid and teacher hours to more fully differentiate instruction. Teachers and parents report and research demonstrates that these action are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting our goals for unduplicated pupils

For actions/ services 1, 2, 3 and 4 in 2017-2018, WECS will increase aid and teacher hours to more fully provide social and emotional support to struggling students. This will support student learning and also further the mission of our school

#### Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Instructions

#### **Addendum**

Education Code 52060 (b) states, "A local control and accountability plan adopted by the governing board of a school district shall be effective for a period of three years, and shall be updated on or before July 1 of each year." However, this section of the Education Code does not apply to charter school LCAPs, nor does the three-year term mentioned therein.

Education Code 47606.

5 (a) instead states, "On or before July 1, 2015, and each year thereafter, a charter school shall update the goals and annual actions to achieve those goals identified in the charter." The LCAP Template itself also states, "Charter schools may adjust...to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33." The *California Charter School Association* believes that this is reasonable because the charter already has a review timeline of five years for renewal, and the LCAP must be reviewed and amended annually. Because the charter LCAP is aligned with the goals of the charter, it seems reasonable for a charter school to do a more comprehensive revision to its LCAP aligned with the timeline for its charter renewal.

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annu

al Update Template documents and communicates local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support student outcomes and overall performance. The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required. Charter schools may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each student group identified by the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) (ethnic, socioeconomically disadvantaged, English learners, foster youth, pupils with disabilities, and homeless youth), for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF student group funded through the county office of education (students attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or expelled under certain conditions) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services funded by a school district that are provided to students attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in Education Code (EC) sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

Charter schools must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all students and each LCFF subgroup of students including students with disabilities and homeless youth, for each of the state priorities that apply for the grade levels served or the nature of the program operated by the charter school, and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the EC. Changes in LCAP goals and actions/services for charter schools that result from the annual update process do not necessarily constitute a material revision to the school's charter petition.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

#### Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

Plan Summary
Annual Update
Stakeholder Engagement
Goals, Actions, and Services
Planned Actions/Services

Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local county office of education, or the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office at: 916-319-0809 or by email at: lcff@cde.ca.gov.

#### **Plan Summary**

The LCAP is intended to reflect an LEA's annual goals, actions, services and expenditures within a fixed three-year planning cycle. LEAs must include a plan summary for the LCAP each year.

When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and address the prompts provided in these sections. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous summary information with information relevant to the current year LCAP.

In this section, briefly address the prompts provided. These prompts are not limits. LEAs may include information regarding local program(s), community demographics, and the overall vision of the LEA. LEAs may also attach documents (e.g., the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics data reports) if desired and/or include charts illustrating goals, planned outcomes, actual outcomes, or related planned and actual expenditures.

An LEA may use an alternative format for the plan summary as long as it includes the information specified in each prompt and the budget summary table.

The reference to LCFF Evaluation Rubrics means the evaluation rubrics adopted by the State Board of Education under *EC* Section 52064.5.

#### **Budget Summary**

The LEA must complete the LCAP Budget Summary table as follows:

- Total LEA General Fund Budget Expenditures for the LCAP Year: This amount is the LEA's total budgeted General Fund expenditures for the LCAP year. The LCAP year means the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or updated by July 1. The General Fund is the main operating fund of the LEA and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. All activities are reported in the General Fund unless there is a compelling reason to account for an activity in another fund. For further information please refer to the California School Accounting Manual (<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/</a>). (Note: For some charter schools that follow governmental fund accounting, this amount is the total budgeted expenditures in the Charter Schools Special Revenue Fund. For charter schools that follow the not-for-profit accounting model, this amount is total budgeted expenses, such as those budgeted in the Charter Schools Enterprise Fund.)
- Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for the LCAP Year:
   This amount is the total of the budgeted expenditures associated with the actions/services included for the LCAP year from all sources of funds, as reflected in the LCAP. To the extent actions/services and/or expenditures are listed in the LCAP under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.
- Description of any use(s) of the General Fund Budget Expenditures specified above for the LCAP year
  not included in the LCAP: Briefly describe expenditures included in total General Fund Expenditures that
  are not included in the total funds budgeted for planned actions/services for the LCAP year. (Note: The total
  funds budgeted for planned actions/services may include funds other than general fund expenditures.)

Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year: This amount is the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA
estimates it will receive pursuant to EC sections 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools) and 2574
(for county offices of education), as implemented by EC sections 42238.03 and 2575 for the LCAP year
respectively.

#### **Annual Update**

The planned goals, expected outcomes, actions/services, and budgeted expenditures must be copied verbatim from the previous year's\* approved LCAP. Minor typographical errors may be corrected.

\* For example, for LCAP year 2017/18 of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP, review the goals in the 2016/17 LCAP. Moving forward, review the goals from the most recent LCAP year. For example, LCAP year 2020/21 will review goals from the 2019/20 LCAP year, which is the last year of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 LCAP.

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

For each goal in the prior year, identify and review the actual measurable outcomes as compared to the expected annual measurable outcomes identified in the prior year for the goal.

#### **Actions/Services**

Identify the planned Actions/Services and the budgeted expenditures to implement these actions toward achieving the described goal. Identify the **actual** actions/services implemented to meet the described goal and the estimated actual annual expenditures to implement the actions/services. As applicable, identify any changes to the students or student groups served, or to the planned location of the actions/services provided.

#### **Analysis**

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, analyze whether the planned actions/services were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal. Include a
  discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the actions/services to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions and services to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the LCAP.

#### **Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, students, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. *EC* identifies the minimum consultation requirements for school districts and county offices of education as consulting with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the school district, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. *EC* requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and pupils in developing the LCAP. In addition, *EC* Section 48985 specifies the requirements for the translation of notices, reports, statements, or records sent to a parent or guardian.

The LCAP should be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet specific goals.

**Instructions:** The stakeholder engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. The requirements for this section are the same for each year of a three-year LCAP. When developing the LCAP, mark the appropriate LCAP year, and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the LCAP and Annual Update. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, mark the appropriate LCAP year and replace the previous stakeholder narrative(s) and describe the stakeholder engagement process used to develop the current year LCAP and Annual Update.

**School districts and county offices of education:** Describe the process used to consult with the Parent Advisory Committee, the English Learner Parent Advisory Committee, parents, students, school personnel, the LEA's local bargaining units, and the community to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

**Charter schools:** Describe the process used to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students to inform the development of the LCAP and the annual review and analysis for the indicated LCAP year.

Describe how the consultation process impacted the development of the LCAP and annual update for the indicated LCAP year, including the goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

#### Goals, Actions, and Services

LEAs must include a description of the annual goals, for all students and each LCFF identified group of students, to be achieved for each state priority as applicable to type of LEA. An LEA may also include additional local priorities. This section shall also include a description of the specific planned actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

**School districts and county offices of education:** The LCAP is a three-year plan, which is reviewed and updated annually, as required.

**Charter schools:** The number of years addressed in the LCAP may align with the term of the charter schools budget, typically one year, which is submitted to the school's authorizer. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

#### New, Modified, Unchanged

As part of the LCAP development process, which includes the annual update and stakeholder engagement, indicate if the goal, identified need, related state and/or local priorities, and/or expected annual measurable outcomes for the current LCAP year or future LCAP years are modified or unchanged from the previous year's LCAP; or, specify if the goal is new.

#### **Goal**

State the goal. LEAs may number the goals using the "Goal #" box for ease of reference. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all actions/services are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the LEA seeking to achieve?

#### **Related State and/or Local Priorities**

Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as applicable to the type of LEA, and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. (<u>Link to State Priorities</u>)

#### **Identified Need**

Describe the needs that led to establishing the goal. The identified needs may be based on quantitative or qualitative information, including, but not limited to, results of the annual update process or performance data from the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, as applicable.

#### **Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) or indicator(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data as reported in the annual update of the LCAP year immediately preceding the three-year plan, as applicable. The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP. In the subsequent year columns, identify the progress to be made in each year of the three-year cycle of the LCAP. Consider how expected outcomes in any given year are related to the expected outcomes for subsequent years.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, but at minimum an LEA must use the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. For the student engagement priority metrics, as applicable, LEAs must calculate the rates as described in the <a href="LCAP Template Appendix, sections">LCAP Template Appendix, sections</a> (a) through (d).

#### **Planned Actions/Services**

For each action/service, the LEA must complete either the section "For Actions/Services not contributing to meeting Increased or Improved Services Requirement" or the section "For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement." The LEA shall not complete both sections for a single action.

#### For Actions/Services Not Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement

#### **Students to be Served**

The "Students to be Served" box is to be completed for all actions/services except for those which are included by the LEA as contributing to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated students. Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the actions/services by checking "All", "Students with Disabilities", or "Specific Student Group(s)". If "Specific Student Group(s)" is checked, identify the specific student group(s) as appropriate.

#### Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

**Charter schools** operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

#### For Actions/Services Contributing to Meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement:

#### Students to be Served

For any action/service contributing to the LEA's overall demonstration that it has increased or improved services for unduplicated students above what is provided to all students (see <u>Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students</u> section, below), the LEA must identify the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

#### **Scope of Service**

For each action/service contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, identify scope of service by indicating "LEA-wide", "Schoolwide", or "Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)". The LEA must select one of the following three options:

- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of the LEA, place a check mark next to "LEA-wide."
- If the action/service is being funded and provided to upgrade the entire educational program of a particular school or schools, place a check mark next to "schoolwide".
- If the action/service being funded and provided is limited to the unduplicated students identified in "Students to be Served", place a check mark next to "Limited to Student Groups".

For charter schools and single-school school districts, "LEA-wide" and "Schoolwide" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. For charter schools operating multiple schools (determined by a unique CDS code) under a single charter, use "LEA-wide" to refer to all schools under the charter and use "Schoolwide" to refer to a single school authorized within the same charter petition. Charter schools operating a single school may use "LEA-wide" or "Schoolwide" provided these terms are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

#### Location(s)

Identify the location where the action/services will be provided. If the services are provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the services are provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must mark "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Charter schools operating more than one site, authorized within the same charter petition, may choose to distinguish between sites by selecting "Specific Schools" and identify the site(s) where the actions/services will be provided. For charter schools operating only one site, "All Schools" and "Specific Schools" may be synonymous and, therefore, either would be appropriate. Charter schools may use either term provided they are used in a consistent manner through the LCAP.

#### **Actions/Services**

For each LCAP year, identify the actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions and services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. LEAs may number the action/service using the "Action #" box for ease of reference.

#### New/Modified/Unchanged:

- Check "New" if the action/service is being added in any of the three years of the LCAP to meet the
  articulated goal.
- Check "Modified" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
- Check "Unchanged" if the action/service was included to meet an articulated goal and has not been changed or modified in any way from the prior year description.
  - o If a planned action/service is anticipated to remain unchanged for the duration of the plan, an LEA may check "Unchanged" and leave the subsequent year columns blank rather than having to copy/paste the action/service into the subsequent year columns. Budgeted expenditures may be treated in the same way as applicable.

**Note:** The goal from the prior year may or may not be included in the current three-year LCAP. For example, when developing year 1 of the LCAP, the goals articulated in year 3 of the preceding three-year LCAP will be from the prior year.

**Charter schools** may complete the LCAP to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer. Accordingly, a charter school submitting a one-year budget to its authorizer may choose not to complete the year 2 and year 3 portions of the "Goals, Actions, and Services" section of the template. If year 2 and/or year 3 is not applicable, charter schools must specify as such.

#### **Budgeted Expenditures**

For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by *EC* sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Expenditures that are included more than once in an LCAP must be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and action/service where the expenditure first appears in the LCAP.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, and chooses to complete a single LCAP, the LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted expenditures are aligned.

#### **Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students**

This section must be completed for each LCAP year. When developing the LCAP in year 2 or year 3, copy the "Demonstration of Increased or Improved Services for Unduplicated Students" table and mark the appropriate LCAP year. Using the copy of the table, complete the table as required for the current year LCAP. Retain all prior year tables for this section for each of the three years within the LCAP.

#### **Estimated Supplemental and Concentration Grant Funds**

Identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students as determined pursuant to *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 (5 *CCR*) Section 15496(a)(5).

#### Percentage to Increase or Improve Services

Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 *CCR* Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. This description must address how the action(s)/service(s) limited for one or more unduplicated student group(s), and any schoolwide or districtwide action(s)/service(s) supported by the appropriate description, taken together, result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils.

If the overall increased or improved services include any actions/services being funded and provided on a schoolwide or districtwide basis, identify each action/service and include the required descriptions supporting each action/service as follows.

For those services being provided on an LEA-wide basis:

- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55% or more, and for charter schools and county offices of education: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55%: Describe how these services are
  principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local
  priorities. Also describe how the services are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its
  unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting
  research, experience or educational theory.

For school districts only, identify in the description those services being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis:

- For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and **effective in** meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.
- For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these services are **principally directed to** and how the services are **the most effective use of the funds to** meet its goals for English learners, low income students and foster youth, in the state and any local priorities.

#### **State Priorities**

#### Priority 1: Basic Services addresses the degree to which:

- A. Teachers in the LEA are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching:
- B. Pupils in the school district have sufficient access to the standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- C. School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### Priority 2: Implementation of State Standards addresses:

- A. The implementation of state board adopted academic content and performance standards for all students, which are:
  - a. English Language Arts Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English Language Arts
  - b. Mathematics CCSS for Mathematics
  - c. English Language Development (ELD)
  - d. Career Technical Education
  - e. Health Education Content Standards
  - f. History-Social Science
  - g. Model School Library Standards
  - h. Physical Education Model Content Standards
  - i. Next Generation Science Standards
  - j. Visual and Performing Arts
  - k. World Language; and
- B. How the programs and services will enable English learners to access the CCSS and the ELD standards for purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English language proficiency.

#### Priority 3: Parental Involvement addresses:

- A. The efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each individual school site:
- B. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for unduplicated pupils; and
- C. How the school district will promote parental participation in programs for individuals with exceptional needs.

#### Priority 4: Pupil Achievement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Statewide assessments:
- B. The Academic Performance Index:
- C. The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) entrance requirements, or programs of study that align with state board approved career technical educational standards and framework;
- D. The percentage of English learner pupils who make progress toward English proficiency as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT);
- E. The English learner reclassification rate;
- F. The percentage of pupils who have passed an advanced placement examination with a score of 3 or higher; and
- G. The percentage of pupils who participate in, and demonstrate college preparedness pursuant to, the Early Assessment Program, or any subsequent assessment of college preparedness.

#### Priority 5: Pupil Engagement as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. School attendance rates;
- B. Chronic absenteeism rates:
- C. Middle school dropout rates;
- D. High school dropout rates; and
- E. High school graduation rates:

#### **Priority 6: School Climate** as measured by all of the following, as applicable:

- A. Pupil suspension rates;
- B. Pupil expulsion rates; and
- C. Other local measures, including surveys of pupils, parents, and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness.

#### Priority 7: Course Access addresses the extent to which pupils have access to and are enrolled in:

- A. S broad course of study including courses described under EC sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable;
- B. Programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils; and
- C. Programs and services developed and provided to individuals with exceptional needs.

**Priority 8: Pupil Outcomes** addresses pupil outcomes, if available, for courses described under *EC* sections 51210 and 51220(a)-(i), as applicable.

**Priority 9: Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (COE Only)** addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate instruction of expelled pupils.

**Priority 10. Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (COE Only)** addresses how the county superintendent of schools will coordinate services for foster children, including:

- A. Working with the county child welfare agency to minimize changes in school placement
- B. Providing education-related information to the county child welfare agency to assist in the delivery of services to foster children, including educational status and progress information that is required to be included in court reports;
- C. Responding to requests from the juvenile court for information and working with the juvenile court to ensure the delivery and coordination of necessary educational services; and
- D. Establishing a mechanism for the efficient expeditious transfer of health and education records and the health and education passport.

#### Local Priorities address:

- A. Local priority goals; and
- B. Methods for measuring progress toward local goals.

#### **APPENDIX A: PRIORITIES 5 AND 6 RATE CALCULATION INSTRUCTIONS**

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under *EC* sections 52060 and 52066, as applicable to type of LEA, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in 5 CCR Section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

- (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
- (3) Divide (1) by (2).

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, *Education Code*. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.6, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060, 52061, 52062, 52063, 52064, 52066, 52067, 52068, 52069, 52070, 52070.5, and 64001,; 20 U.S.C. Sections 6312 and 6314.

#### **APPENDIX B: GUIDING QUESTIONS**

#### **Guiding Questions: Annual Review and Analysis**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

#### **Guiding Questions: Stakeholder Engagement**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in EC Section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to *EC* sections 52062, 52068, or 47606.5, as applicable, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in *EC* Section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR Section 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

#### **Guiding Questions: Goals, Actions, and Services**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning": Basic Services (Priority 1), the Implementation of State Standards (Priority 2), and Course Access (Priority 7)?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes": Pupil Achievement (Priority 4), Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8), Coordination of Instruction of Expelled Pupils (Priority 9 COE Only), and Coordination of Services for Foster Youth (Priority 10 COE Only)?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement": Parental Involvement (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement (Priority 5), and School Climate (Priority 6)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in *EC* Section 42238.01 and groups as defined in *EC* Section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in EC Section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

Prepared by the California Department of Education, October 2016